Previous references.

File No.

1904 30 of 03-04

(Sta)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

PART B FOR September

SUBJECT.

Grant of pension to Narnappa late Shekdar of Chintamani Tk

FILE No. 30 of 1903-04.

Grant of Pension to Narnappa, late Shekdar of Chintamani Taluk.

1	From Revenue Commissioner, No. 7387, dated 20th May 1904. Recommends the grant of a superannuation pension to Narnappa, shekdar, Chintamani Taluk.
2	To Comptroller, No. Fl. 221, dated 9th July 1904. Requests him to report the age of the shekdar, given in all the schedules from the time the shekdar entered service.
3	From Comptroller, No. 419—31, dated 19th July 1904. States that the age given in the schedules for 1879 to 1881 is 12th November 1848, for 1882 to 1897 is 27th October 1847 and for 1898 and 1899 is 1842.
4	To Revenue Commissioner and Comptroller, Fl. 1354—5, dated 3rd September 1904. Forwards the pension application of Narnappa to the Comptroller for verification and report for a superannuation pension.
5	From Comptroller, No. 56—6803, dated 17th September 1904. Submits for orders duly verified and reported on the pension application of Narnappa.
6	To Comptroller and Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, No. Fl. 2061—2, dated 30th September 1904. Sanctions a superannuation pension of Rs. 5-3-7 per mensem.

G. R. S. 58.

General & Revenue Secrett.

~~OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,~~

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

Sm. 309-03.

(Full Office Note.)

Subject.

(1) Letter No. 7387 dated 20th May 1904 from the Revenue Commr in Mysore regarding the grant of pension to Narnappa late Sékdar of the Chintamani Taluk

Note. The Sekdar was retired from 23rd Decr 1899 on the ground that he was then superannuated. Subsequently when his pension application was, in the usual course, forwarded to the Comptroller for verification, it was found out that he was ~~not~~ then ~~53~~ only 53 years' old and that the presumption that he was then superannuated was based on a wrong entry made in the Schedule of establishment for the year 1899 The Comptroller returned the application stating that the Sekdar was not entitled to any pension and that the case might be submitted for the orders of Govt. The Revenue Commr

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

Comm^r accordingly submits the case to Govt for orders and recommends that, in these circumstances, the Sekdar may either be granted a special superannuation pension or might be required to serve some time longer. He adds that the Sekdar is nearly 58 years old.

2. The Rev: comm^r suggests the alteration of the heading of col: 6 of the Annual Schedule of Estt^s into "Date of incumbents' birth as per Service Register"

Sub^d.
DMB
6/6/04

1. It is stated that the Calculation of the age of the Sheristadar and the recommendation to Govt to Superannuate him were made on the Strength of the Entry in Col 6 (Date of Incumbents birth - as near as possible - or age on 1st July) of the Schedule of Estabt for 1899. This entry is now reported to have been subsequently found Wrong, having been made "probably by clerical Error". How the Entry was proved to be a mistake does not appear in the D^y Comm^r's letter. From the Revenue^r reference it appears that the Entry in the Schedule for 99 was taken to be wrong as it differed from that in the Service Reg^r. The entry of age in the latter appears to be in a different writing & different ink from that of the other Entries in the first last pages of the reg^r and is a later insertion, the Col of Date of

birth having been left blank at the time the Service regr was first written up and as admitted in a note on the 1st Page. Therefore if the entry in the Schedule for 99 was assumed to be wrong simply because it differed from that in the Service regr, the inference does not appear to be quite conclusive. It would be desirable to ascertain the age given in all the Schedules from the time the man entered the Service and this would show the value to be attached to the entry in the Service regr. If the latter turns out to be correct and the man was retired before he was 55, then the Case would become for special consideration as to which kind of pension is admissible or how the Case should be dealt with.

2. Regarding the suggestion of the Ver Govt to alter the ~~Col~~ heading of Col of age in the form of the Schedule of Establt, it is not an alternative one in form 3 of Civil accts Code Vol I. It is not known why and on what authority the words "or age on 1st July" were introduced in the local form. In any case there appears to be no necessity to qualify "the date of incumbents birth" by the addition of the words "as per Service regr" as the entries in both should be identical and the ~~Annual~~ Schedule should be compared with the Service regr and a certificate of agreement recorded on the former every year - Please see last para of art 55 C.A.C. Vol I.

Subd to the Secy
Genl
7/6/04

Asst Secy
[illegible] says that
there is no proof
that the entry on
the Est order of 1899 was
based, is wrong. So ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ the age

4

Draft submitted.
DMB
1/7/04 Passed
mull
4/7/04

Sud.
5/7/04.

(2) Docket No. $\frac{\text{Fl 221}}{\text{S\&A 7.30-'03}}$ dated the 9th July 1904 to the Comptroller in Mysore requesting him to report the age of the Sekdar given in all the ~~cases~~ Schedules from the time the Sekdar entered the service

(3) ~~#~~ Letter No. $\frac{\text{419}}{31}$ d. the 19th July 1904 from the comptroller in Mysore stating that the age given in the Schedules for 1879 to 1881 is 12th Nov. 1848, for 1882 to 1897 is 27th Oct. 1847 and for 1898 & 1899, is 1842

Subs. Orders.
DMB
28/7/04 The result of the Enquiry does not enable
ft
29/7 us to determine the Correct age of the Applicant. Different years of birth are given in different Schedules. The Dy Comr. should not have recommended the Supernumeration of the Sheristadar before ascertaining his Correct age. As the man's exact age on the Date of retirement is even now not ascertained, and as he is reported (on the Strength of the Entry in the Service Regr.) to be now over 58 years and

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S+A File No. 30 of 1903-04

Serial No.

and as nothing could be done now even if it be proved he was less than 55 years old on the date of retirement, the Comptr. may be authorised to report upon the case for a superannuation pension in accordance with the order already passed.

The fact that different years of birth are given in the Schedules of Establishment shows that these latter are not well scrutinized in the Comptr's Office as required by art 808 Civil Acct Code Vol II

Subd to the Secy

mmb 30/7/04 Please prepare a draft & send me [illegible] 30/7/1904

mmb 30/7/04

Draft subd to the Secy

MMB 4/8/04 mmb 10/8/04 Draft prepd & subd

[illegible] 5/8/04 with to the Govt

Submitted this the 12 Councillor [illegible] 12.8.1904

Read 13-8-04.

Draft [illegible]

[illegible] 14/8/1904

[illegible] 20.8.1904

995/15.8.04 1095/20.8.04

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-4-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SLA File No. 30 of 1903-04.

Serial No. 4. To the Revenue Commr in Mysore and the Comptroller in Mysore. Govt Pro. No. H 1354-5 d: 3d Septr 1904

Forwards the pension applicatn of the Sekdar to the Comptroller for verificatn and report for a superannuation pension.

5 From the Comptroller in Mysore No. 56 P&A 6803 d: 17th September 1904.

Submits for order duly verified and reported on the pension applicatn of ~~Narasappa~~. the Sekdar

Dept subd. Passed under 27-9-04 DRB 27/9/04

6. To the Comptroller and the Dy Commr of the Kolar Dt Sanctions ~~a~~ a superannuation pension of Rs 5-3-7 p.m. with effect from 23d Decr 1899. H. 2061-2 / 30-9-04

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

Letter No. C. 1063 dated 28th February 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District (with its enclosure)
" C 1467 " 20th April "
" " "
" " "
" " "
" " "
" " "

(1)

No. 7387.

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 20th May 1904

FROM T. Ananda Row Esq. B.A.
~~V. P. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., C.I.E.,~~
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted papers relating to the application on behalf of Narnappa who was formerly a Shekdar of the Chintamani Taluk and was retired from the service by Government in their Proceedings No. 420-30/R 184 dated 17th July 1899 and to state as follows:-

2 Subsequent to the date of the Proceedings of Government referred to above, it was found that the recommendation that had been made to Government for the retirement of Narnappa was based on the ground that his age as shewn in the Schedule of Establishment for the year 1899, was more than 55 years and that the entry about his age in that Schedule was wrong. The date of birth as given in the Service Register being 9th November 1846, the man was less than 53 years old when the schedule of establishment of 1899 was prepared. However considering that " it was too late to question the orders of Government in the matter " an application for pension on behalf of the retired official was prepared and forwarded to the Comptroller on 14th October 1903. But finding that the applicant was according to the entry in the Service Register only 53 years

of

work which should devolve upon the Comptroller's Office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Mundy

For Revenue Commissioner.

Typed
A.N.

ZD
21 March

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
24 MAY 1904
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

2427

PA-1744

1904.

From T. Ananda Rau Esq. B.A.
~~V. P. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., C.I.E.~~
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 20 May 1904.
Despatched 23 May 1904.
Received
General No. 7357.

ENCLOSURE No. 7.

Petition praying the grant of a superannuation pension to Ramappa a retired Shroffer of the Tirthahalli Taluk.

Dues
24/5

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
24 MAY 1904
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE
1904
No. 3742

From K. P. Puttannachetty Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To T. Ananda Rao Esq B.A.
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 20th April 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. C. 1467

Enclosure No. Two

Forwarding Memo
No. 14 of 13.11.1903 &
docket No. 4151/523 of 30.1.1904
from The Comptroller, in
re The Pension Applica-
tion of Narayappa,
Retired Sheikdar of
Chintamani Taluk.

Rep
Ass
27.4.04

Subd with reps
29.4.04

Please return
a Circle Office Note
29-4-04

20
29.4.04

20th April 04.

From

K. P. Puttanna Chetty, Esqr
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

Y. Ananda Rao Esqr B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 5866 dated 12/14 4 1904, re the Pension Application on behalf of Narayanappa retired Sheristadar, Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith Comptroller's Memo No. 17 dated 13th November 1903, and his docket No. 415/523 dated 30th January 1904.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

K. P. Puttanna Chetty
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Reg No 1848 & 1413 of 03-04

17 NOV 1903

SPECIAL AUDIT
Pension

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, ...

Memo No 17 Dated 13 November 03

Application for Pension on behalf of Ramappa late Shekdar of Hindanpain Taluq received with his docket No 5069 11 Ultimo

Do no: 1701/1408

If the applicants date of birth according to that recorded in the service book is declared to be correct (i, e 15-11-46) he had not completed 55 years of age at time of retirement on 22-12-99 & was therefore not eligible to a Superannuation pension & not having been declared unfit for further service by a medical officer he cannot claim even an Invalid Pension. Moreover the service rendered by him under Rs 10/- is Inferior & deducting this period of 3 yrs 8 m 24 d from the total service of 7 yrs 10 m 9 d qualifying, leaves only 4 yrs 1 m 15 d as service superior reckoning for pension. Why the applicant could not have been allowed to remain in service to have completed 25 years, the circumstances under which he was recommended to be retired, the exact cause of the applicants inefficiency must be detailed for the information of Govt without which the application cannot be reported on.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Dy Commr

Kolar

Office of the Comptroller

Rly Dno 2970/2212 of 03-04
1904

From Henry Eagles Esqre
offg Comptroller of Mysore

To F. E & barr Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched 30 January 04

Received

General No. 4157

P & A No. 523

Enclosure No.

In reply to his docket
No: 2720/2241 of the 19th ultimo
in regard to the application
for pension on behalf of
retired Shekdar of the
Chintamani Taluq has
the honor to observe from
the facts now brought to
notice in the above letter,
that the circumstances
under which the applicant
was retired do not fulfill
the conditions imposed
for the grant of any of
the classes of pension provided
for

1 FEB 1904

for in the Mysore Service Regulations and to request him to be so good as to refer the matter for the Orders of the Government as to how the mans case should be dealt with under the circumstances.

M. Carter
Secretary

IV Dec 245

4776

2-3-04

From J. J. Cotton Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To V. P. Madhava Rao Esq-C.S.I.
Revenue Commissioner, in
Mysore

Dated 28th Feby: 1904

Despatched :

Received

General No.

No. C. 1063.

Enclosure No. 1 Pension Application with Service Register.

Forwarding, for Special Sanction, the Pension Application, on behalf of Narappa, Retired Sheikdar, Chintamani Taluk.

Participate with a brief note what is the remedy for such cases under C.S. Mysore. Why should not the case be granted one year's extra to complete his service which would make him eligible for half pension of it is not now in his

Rule
3/5/04

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated 28th February 1904.
Camp. Anjoniahally.

From

J. C. Carr. Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To.

V P Madhava Row Esqre C.I.E.
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir,

In Submitting herewith the Pension application of Narainappa, retired Sheristadar Chintamani Taluk, together with Comptroller's memo No. 17 dated 13-11-03 and his docket-no $\frac{4157}{523}$ dated 30-1-04, I have the honor to state that the Sheristadar was retired under the following circumstances. According to the Schedule of establishment for 1898-99 his age was found to be more than 55 years the date of his birth being entered in 1841-42. He was therefore recommended by Mr. H. H. Sparkes, the then Deputy Commissioner, to be

retired and the Government in their
Pro dro $\frac{420-30}{R.184}$ dated 17th July 1899
Sanctioned his retirement — It was only
after the sanction was accorded and
the official retired, that the date of his
birth was found to be wrongly entered,
probably by clerical error. Being, how-
ever, too late to question the sanction
which the Government had given for the
retirement, I got the Pension applica-
tion of the official prepared and for-
warded it to the Comptroller, who re-
plied that no pension could be
granted according to Mysore Service
Regulations —

I therefore, request that under
the circumstances above explained.
the officials case may be treated as
a special one and the Comptroller re-
directed to accept the Pension
application and forward the Same
for Government Sanction.

I have the honor to be.

Sir

Your most obdt Servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

(2)

To The Comptroller to the
Govt / of Mysore

No. Fd. 221
SAA. B. 30-1903

Dy G.L July 1904

Adverting to corr^ce
ending with his docket
No. 4151 / Pla 523 d the 30th
June 1904 to the Dy Comr
of the Kolar Dt stating
that as per entry in the
Service Book of Narasappa
late Sekdar of the
Chintamani Taluk, the
Sekdar was not
superannuated at the
time of his retirement
and in consequence he
was not entitled to
a superannuation
pension, has the honor
to request that the
Comptroller will be
good enough to report
the age given in all
the Schedules from the
time

time the Seidar enters
the Serine Esrun to
enable him to ~~see~~
~~whether the enemy~~ ~~Wrade~~
~~is the river boat~~ is
~~Sure enough~~
determine the exact nature
of
~~Sister~~

Ft: Diary No: 230

(3)

Revenue & Misc. DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 19 May 1904.

From

Henry Eagles. Esquire.,

Offg Comptroller, Govt. of Mysore.

To B K Venkatavaradaiengar. Esquire

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In reference to your docket no. Ft 221 of the 9th instant, requesting me to report the date of birth of Nannappa, late Shekdar of the Chintamani Taluk, as shown in the books of Establishment maintained in this office; I have the honor to state that it is 12th November 48 in the schedules for 1879 to 81, 27th October 47 in those for 82 to 97 and 1842 in the schedules for 98 and 99

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

H Eagles
Offg. Comptroller.

Lawson & Allen's DEPARTMEN

190

From Henry Eagles Esquire
Hg
~~THE~~ COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To B. Hg Venkatavaradappa
Hg
~~THE~~ SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Genl Rev & Depts

Dated 18th July 1902

Despatched

Received

No. 49

PFA No. 31

SUBJECT MATTER

---

stating that the date of
death of Ramappa, late Shekdar
of the Chintamani Taluk, as
shewn in the books of Establish-
ment maintained in this office
is 12th November '48 in the
Schedules for 1879 to 81, 27th
Octr: '47 in those for '82 to 97
and 1842 in those for '98 & '99

Cy

Fair draft (4)

G.R.S. 28]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to RA 1744

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(II)

Dated, the 3rd September 1904

Date of issue 3-9-04

Signed by Mr M N Krishna Rao

READ.— Letter No. 7387 dated the 20th May 1904 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore regarding the grant of pension to Narnappa late Sekdar of the Chintamani Taluk

ORDER THEREON.—No. JR 1354-5 SJA 30-03 The Revenue Commissioner reports that the Sekdar was retired from the 23rd December 1899 on the ground of Superannuation and that when his pension application was forwarded to

over

Issued 24.8.1904

Rec'd Copy 26/8/04

SUBJECT

Compared

MGCPB—5,000

the Comptroller for verification, he returned it stating That the Sekdar was not entitled to any pension as he was only 53 years' old when he was retired and the presumption of Superannuation was wrong, having been based on a wrong entry made in the Schedule of establishment for the year 1899 and that the case might be submitted for the orders of Govt. The Revenue Commissioner accordingly submits the case to Govt for orders and recommends that a Special Superannuation pension may be sanctioned to the Sekdar. It is stated that the calculation of the age of the Sekdar and the recommendation to Government to Superannuate him were made on the strength of the entry in column 6 of

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

the Schedule of establishment for 1899. This entry is now reported to be wrong as it differs from that in the Service Register. But the entry of age in the latter appears to be in a different writing and different ink from that of the other entries in the first and the last pages of the Register, the column of date of birth having been apparently left blank at the time the Service Register was first written up as can be inferred from a note on the first page. Therefore the inference that the entry in the Schedule for 1899 is wrong, does not appear to be quite conclusive. In view to determine the Sekdar's correct age, the Comptroller was requested to report the age given in all the Schedules from the time the Sekdar entered the Service. The result of the enquiry, however, does not enable the Government to determine the correct age

of the Sekdar Different years
of birth are given in different
Schedules The Dy Commissioner
should not have recommended
the superannuation of the
Sekdar before ascertaining his
correct age As the Sekdar's
correct age on the date of
retirement is even now not
ascertained, such as he is however
reported to be now over 58
years of age according to the
entry in the Service Register,
the Comptroller is requested
to report upon the case for
a superannuation pension
in accordance with the order
already passed on the assumption
that he was at the time over 55 years of
age.

2. The pension application
of the Sekdar together with the
Service Register and the last
pay certificate is forwarded to
the Comptroller ~~to the Govt~~
~~of H. H. the Maha~~ ~~of Mysore~~

3 The Comptroller is
requested to see that the
annual Schedules of establish-
ment are better scrutinized
in his office and that
entries irreconcilable with the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Statements of previous years are noticed and intimated to the responsible officers in view to have such mistakes rectified then and there

20/8/1904

Secretary

20/8/1904

To

The Revenue Commr in Mysore

The Comptroller in Mysore

20/8/1904

L.R. Typed 1/9

Pension Department

Diary No: 1149

OFFICE OF

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 17 September 1904

No. 56
P. & A. 6803

From

THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE,

GENERAL & REVENUE DEPTS.

SIR,

I have the honor, with refe rticle 471 of the Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Government, duly verified and reported on, the marginally noted application for Pension / ~~Gratuity~~ received with ~~docket~~ No. 13545 dated 3rd September 1904 from the

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

Name and designation: Mariappa, Merchant, Chintamani Taluq

Class of Pension or Gratuity

Amount 5 3 1 4 0

From

THE COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

P. & A. No.

nclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an application for Pension (Gratuity) reported on for the orders of Government on behalf of.....

.....

MGCPB—500—9-9-03.

Form No. 2 referred to in Articles 471 to 475 and 486 M. S. Regulations, 2nd Edition.]

Finl. 104,

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant..... Narain appa.
2. Father's Name..... Venkatagiriyappa.
3. Race, Sect and Caste ..... Smartha Brahmin (Vulsakambi
4. Residence, showing Village ..... Chickaballapour.
5. Present or last employment, including name of Establishment ..... Sheikdar, Mungamballi hobli, Chintamani Taluk.
6. Date of beginning of Service..... 10th July 1876. ~~(31-8-13)~~
7. Date of ending of Service..... 22nd Dec. 1899. ~~55~~ (55-1-25)
8. Length of Service, including interruptions..

	Y.	M.	D.
	23.	5.	13.
of which Superior	22.	7.	3.
Inferior	"	"	"
Non-qualifying and interruptions.....		10 - 11.	

9. Class of Pension ~~or Gratuity~~ applied for, and cause of application* Superannuation Pension on account of general debility and old age vide Govt Rev No FC 1354-5 ~~54930-03~~ 8.3.9.04
10. [Average] Emoluments or Pay..... Rs 15.
11. Proposed Pension ..... Rs. 5-3-7 ..... Rs 5-8-0. minus 2/40 ~~Gratuity~~ according to Art: 321 of M. S. Regulations ~~Part I 1322~~
12. Proposed Gratuity .....
13. Date from which Pension is to commence .. 23rd Dec. 1899.
14. Place of Payment ..... Chintamani Taluk Treasury ~~Mysore Treasury~~
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era †.. 27-10-1844 (a little over 55 years at time of retirement) ~~5-11-1846 as recorded in the Service Register~~
16. Height ..... 5 Feet 6 Inches above
17. Marks ‡ ..... A scar between the eyebrows on the forehead.
18. Date ..... 1.9.1903.
19. Regd. No. 6005 (in Comptroller's Office.)

Signature of Head of Office.
Dy. Commissioner, Kolar

* If the application is for a Compensation, Pension or Gratuity, the nature of the change of Establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.

‡ If there be no ostensible marks, the applicant may be referred to a medical officer for examination and the marks that may be given by that officer may be entered. These must include an impression of the ball of the applicant's left thumb made in the space for the purpose shown below.

Thumb impression of _____ late _____ taken in my presence this _____ day of _____ 190

Signature and designation

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (showing interruption) of *Narayanappa, Sheikdar of Mungarahalli Hobli of Chintamani Taluk.*

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting Allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as service	Period not reckoned as service	Remarks	How verified	Remarks by the Audit Officer
						Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.			
Chickaballapur Taluk.	Vattu Mutsaddi	10 Rs	"	10.7.76.	31.5.79	2.10.7.	0.0.15	Leave without allowance.	Verified with service Registers and with the Correspondence of respective Taluks.	Service from 10.7.76 to 22.12.99 admitted on the verification of the Dy. Commr. Kolar Dt. as certified to in column 10 of this page & 6 of the [illegible] & confirmed by a reference to the Sehd. [illegible] maintained in this office [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] other than [illegible] which does not constitute date of [illegible] [illegible]
Do	Asst. Munshi	12 Rs	"	1.6.79.	13.3.82.	2.5.13.	0.4.0	Do.		
Bowringpet Taluk.	Sheikdar, Hulibele Hobli	10 Rs	"	14.3.82.	31.12.82.	0.9.17.	0.0.0.	Transferred on exchange		
Do.	Sheikdar, Boodikote.	10 Rs	"	1.1.83.	31.1.83.	0.1.0	0.0.0			
Do	Do.	15 Rs	"	1.2.83. 6.2.88	5.2.88. 31.3.88	5.0.5	0.0.0 0.1.25	Suspension		
Do	No record as to disbursement of pay.			1.4.88	23.4.88	0.0.9.	0.0.[illegible]	Not found in Bazawarde ([illegible])		
Malur Taluk.	Kasaba Sheikdar	15 Rs	"	24.4.88.	30.11.88.	0.7.7.	0.0.0.			
Do	[illegible]yakal Do.	15 Rs	"	1.12.88.	31.7.95.	6.8.0.	0.0.0			
Chintamani Taluk	Addagal Do.	15 Rs	"	1.8.95.	30.9.95.	0.2.0.	0.0.0.			
Do	Ambajidurg Hobli Sheikdar	15 Rs	"	1.10.95.	28.2.97.	1.5.0	0.0.0.			
Do	Mungarahalli Hobli Sheikdar	15 Rs	"	1.3.97.	22.12.99	2.6.5.	0.3.17.	Leave without allowance		
					Total period of Superior and Inferior Service	22.7.3	0.10.11.			

[illegible]

Signature of Head of Office.
Dy. Commissioner, Kolar District

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant — Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — Suspended for negligence in the Collections work. (6.2.88 to 31.3.88 — 1 month and 25 days)

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant (*see* Chapter XIX of the M. S. Regulations) — Nil

4. Explanation under Article 279 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — ~~Retired on account of old age and general debility~~

5. Any other Remarks (*see* Articles 281 and 473) — Sanctioned in Govt: Pro: No: 429-30 dated 17th July 1899. Pro No 1871 4352-5 & 3.9.04. Service 14 & 30-03 claimed and is established and should be admitted

6. Specific Opinion of Head of Office, whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (*see* Article 472 (*b*) of the M. S. Regulations)

(Signature of Head of Office)
Dy: Commissioner, Kolar Dist.

P
No. ——— of 1904—1905.

Bangalore dated 11 September 1904.

Certificate and Report of the Comptroller.

Certified that (subject to the Remarks below recorded) qualifying service in Superior, ~~Inferior~~ grades, has been duly proved for 22 years, 7 months, 3 days, and that a Superannuation Pension, ~~Gratuity~~, not exceeding Rs. 5-3-7 Five, annas three, & pies seven is admissible under Articles 306, 321(a) of the Mysore Service Regulations, 2nd Edition. But the full amount admissible under the rules is not to be given as a matter of course, or unless the service rendered is really approved, *vide* Article 317 (*a*) of the Regulations.

The calculations have been duly verified. The Pension, ~~Gratuity~~, is chargeable to General Revenues. The period of 14 days in April 1888 for which Surawads are not available as noted in the history of service is treated as an interruption not entailing forfeiture of past service under 273(f) of the Regulations. It is evident that the applicant occupied the time in transit on official transfer from one taluq to another & for which he drew no pay.

Sd/- Eagle
Comptroller.

(6)

6

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

(~~Pension and Allowances~~ Financial Branch)

Read—

Letter No 56 P&A 6803 dated the 17th September 1904.

from the Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Order thereon No. H 2061-2 S&A 30-03-6 dated Bangalore 30 September 1904

Under the provisions of Articles 306, 320 (b) & 321 (a) of the Mysore Service Regulations, the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore sanction the ~~of~~ payment of a Superannuation Pension ~~or Gratuity~~ of Rupees Five, annas three and pies Seven only, per mensem to Naranappa late Sekdar of the Chintamani Taluk with effect from the 23rd December 1899 in consideration of a qualifying service of 22 years 7 months 3 days rendered by him, the amount of Pension ~~or Gratuity~~ being debited to "General Revenues"

27-9-04
for Secretary.

To The Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, with copy of the 1st page of the Pensions ~~Roll or~~ application.

The Dy Commr of the Kolar Dt

Typed S.R. 29/9.

29/9